

SM.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/057,552	MAYO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Young J. Kim	1637	

All Participants:

(1) Young J. Kim.

(2) Ms. Renee Kosslak.

Date of Interview: 7 April 2004

Status of Application: After Final Status

(3) _____

(4) _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


Ms. Kosslak was advised that the substitute specification was received without the statement under 37 CFR 1.125(b)(1). Ms. Kosslak was advised to fax the statement to Examiner's fax to expedite the prosecution.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)


(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)